

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,991	04/11/2001	Hironori Kikkawa	Q63815	9940
7590 10/17/2003 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			DUONG, THOI V	
	N, DC 20037-3213	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
	-	e e	DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	P					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
2 - 1	09/829,991	KIKKAWA, HIRON	KIKKAWA, HIRONORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	A . /			
	Thoi V Duong	2871	AW			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, ma y within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) I , cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this cole ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	August 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			e merits is			
4) Claim(s) 9-23 is/are pending in the application	ı.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/are rejected.		1				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage ,			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application ha	s been received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(se of Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/829,991

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Amendment, Paper No. 13, filed August
 29, 2003.

Currently, claims 9-23 are pending in this application.

Due to the obviousness-type double patenting of the claimed invention over USPN 6,577,295 B2 of Kikkawa, the final rejection of the last office action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA, 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 9-12, 17 and 19-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2, 5, 8-11, 14-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,577,295 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only differences are said common electrode electrically shielding said pixel area from a voltage of said black matrix, and the electric field having a main component extending parallel to said first substrate and said second substrate and perpendicular to said pixel electrodes and said

common electrode in said pixel areas. However, the electrical shielding of the pixel area

and the electric field are inherent from the structure of the patented claims where said

common electrode is electrically coupled to said black matrix and where each of each

pixel electrode vertical stripes extends parallel to each of said common electrode center

stripes. Moreover, that electrical shielding and that electric field are not the method

steps.

4. Claims 13-16, 18, 22 and 23 are also rejected since the method comprising the

steps of forming a color filter, an overcoat layer, a plurality of polarizing plates, a gate

insulating film, an amorphous silicon layer and a N+ type amorphous silicon layer;

scattering polymer beads; and bonding two substrates are well known in the art.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 308-

3171. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3492.

Thoi Duong

10/08/2003

Robert H. Kim SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER AND TO

Page 3